A. ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS

Number of projects requested: ____
Number of projects received: ____

Yes No

Sampling guidelines were adhered to
Marks were accurately recorded
Skills/competencies were clearly indicated
Component marks were clearly indicated
Candidates details were accurately recorded

B. APPROPRIATENESS OF ACTIVITIES

Topics chosen were appropriate for the CAPE level
Topics chosen were relevant to Unit
Submission could have been improved by:

Yes No

C. COMPLIANCE WITH SYLLABUS GUIDELINES

Projects adhered to prescribed length
Projects adhered to stipulated format

Yes No

D. QUALITATIVE FEEDBACK ON CANDIDATES' SUBMISSION

CANDIDATES:

Demonstrated adequate knowledge of the objectives being assessed
Selected and organized pertinent material
Interpreted data correctly
Showed relationship between findings and recommendations
Presented report using relevant charts, tables, graphs
Observed the word limit

Yes No

E. QUALITY OF CANDIDATES' SUBMISSION

The overall quality of candidates’ submission was

Excellent Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Candidates’ performance could have been improved by:

_______________________

F. QUALITY OF TEACHER’S MARKING

The teacher’s assessment of the sample of projects was

Acceptable Severe Lenient Inconsistent

G. PRELIMINARY DECISION

Moderation was NOT possible because:

No projects were received Insufficient number of projects were received Projects were not appropriately marked

H. OTHER COMMENTS

_______________________

_______________________

_______________________
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