



CARIBBEAN EXAMINATIONS COUNCIL
CARIBBEAN ADVANCED PROFICIENCY EXAMINATION®
MODERATION FEEDBACK REPORT ON THE SCHOOL-BASED ASSESSMENT
APPLIED MATHEMATICS
UNIT 2

NAME OF CENTRE : _____

CENTRE CODE: _____

NAME OF TEACHER: _____

YEAR OF EXAMINATION: _____

A. ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS

Number of projects requested: _____

Number of projects received: _____

Yes No

Sampling guidelines were adhered to

Marks were accurately recorded

Skills/competencies were clearly indicated

Component marks were clearly indicated

Candidates details were accurately recorded

B. APPROPRIATENESS OF ACTIVITIES

Topics chosen were appropriate for the CAPE level

Yes No

Topics chosen were relevant to Unit

Submission could have been improved by:

C. COMPLIANCE WITH SYLLABUS GUIDELINES

Projects adhered to prescribed length

Yes No

Projects adhered to stipulated format

D. QUALITATIVE FEEDBACK ON CANDIDATES' SUBMISSION

CANDIDATES:

Demonstrated adequate knowledge of the objectives being assessed

Yes No

Selected and organized pertinent material

Interpreted data correctly

Showed relationship between findings and recommendations

Presented report using relevant charts, tables, graphs

Observed the word limit

E. QUALITY OF CANDIDATES' SUBMISSION

The overall quality of candidates' submission was

Excellent Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

Candidates' performance could have been improved by:

F. QUALITY OF TEACHER'S MARKING

The teacher's assessment of the sample of projects was

Acceptable Severe Lenient Inconsistent

G. PRELIMINARY DECISION

Moderation was NOT possible because:

No projects were received Insufficient number of projects were received Projects were not appropriately marked

H. OTHER COMMENTS

