CARIBBEAN EXAMINATIONS COUNCIL
CARIBBEAN ADVANCED PROFICIENCY EXAMINATION®
SCHOOL-BASED ASSESSMENT MODERATION FEEDBACK FORM

ENTREPRENEURSHIP UNIT 2

| NAME OF CENTRE: ___________________________ | CENTRE CODE: ___________________________
| NAME OF TEACHER: __________________________ | YEAR OF EXAMINATION: ____________________ |

### A. ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS

- Number of projects requested: ☐
- Number of projects received: ☐
- Sampling guidelines adhered to: ☐ ☐
- Marks recorded accurately on assignments and moderation form: ☐ ☐
- Skills/competencies clearly indicated: ☐ ☐
- Module marks clearly indicated: ☐ ☐
- Candidates’ name and registration numbers accurately recorded: ☐ ☐

### B. APPROPRIATENESS OF TASKS

- Topic chosen relevant to the Unit: ☐ ☐
- Topic chosen appropriate for the CAPE level: ☐ ☐
- Comments: ____________________________________________________________

### C. COMPLIANCE WITH SYLLABUS GUIDELINES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- Project marked as specified in syllabus: ☐ ☐
- Is project within the prescribed length?: ☐ ☐
- Is the format/layout logical?: ☐ ☐

### D. QUALITATIVE FEEDBACK ON CANDIDATES’ SUBMISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- Demonstrate adequate knowledge of the objectives being assessed: ☐ ☐
- Material well selected and relevant to topic: ☐ ☐
- Show relationship among components of the business environment: ☐ ☐
- Correct Interpretation of data: ☐ ☐
- Recommendations related to findings: ☐ ☐
- Report presented using relevant charts, tables, graphs: ☐ ☐
- The overall quality of candidates’ submissions was: ☐ Excellent ☐ Satisfactory ☐ Unsatisfactory

Candidates’ submissions could have been improved by:

___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________

### E. QUALITY OF TEACHERS MARKING

The teachers assessment of the sample of projects was:
- Acceptable ☐ Too Severe ☐ Too Lenient ☐ Inconsistent
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