CARIBBEAN EXAMINATIONS COUNCIL  
CARIBBEAN ADVANCED PROFICIENCY EXAMINATIONS®  
SCHOOL-BASED ASSESSMENT FEEDBACK TO SCHOOLS  
GEOGRAPHY – UNIT 1  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CENTRE CODE:</th>
<th>NAME OF CENTRE:</th>
<th>TERRITORY:</th>
<th>NAME OF TEACHER:</th>
<th>YEAR OF EXAMINATIONS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Number of projects received: ____________  
Number of projects examined: ____________  
The size of the sample was adequate.  

Yes [ ]  
No [ ]

PROJECTS  
Yes [ ]  
No [ ]

1. A cover sheet, Form GEOG1-5, was attached to each project.  
2. The electronic selection report was submitted with the sample.  
3. A completed Form GEOG1-3 was submitted with the sample of projects.  
4. The sample was received by the scheduled date.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS  
Yes [ ]  
No [ ]

1. The overall quality of the sample of projects submitted:  
   (a) The topics chosen were relevant to the Specific Objectives in the Unit.  
   (b) The topics chosen were appropriate for the level of the examination.  
   (c) The assignments showed sufficient evidence of candidates’ individual work.  
   (d) The presentation of the sample of projects submitted was  
       Excellent [ ]  
       Satisfactory [ ]  
       Unsatisfactory [ ]

2. The teacher’s assessment was in general  
   Good [ ]  
   Satisfactory [ ]  
   Lenient [ ]  
   Severe [ ]  
   Inconsistent [ ]

GENERAL COMMENTS  
Yes [ ]  
No [ ]

1. The cover page was  
   Excellent [ ]  
   Satisfactory [ ]  
   Unsatisfactory [ ]

2. The statement of purpose was  
   Comprehensive [ ]  
   Satisfactory [ ]  
   Unsatisfactory [ ]

3. The methodology was  
   In-depth [ ]  
   Adequate [ ]  
   Superficial [ ]

4. The diagrams/illustrations were appropriate.  
   At all times [ ]  
   Sometimes [ ]  
   Rarely [ ]

5. The diagram/illustrations were well integrated into the text.  
   At all times [ ]  
   Sometimes [ ]  
   Rarely [ ]

6. The presentation was  
   Excellent [ ]  
   Satisfactory [ ]  
   Unsatisfactory [ ]

7. The description of the findings was  
   Comprehensive and Clear [ ]  
   Adequate [ ]  
   Limited or Unclear [ ]

8. The analyses and discussion done were  
   Comprehensive [ ]  
   Satisfactory [ ]  
   Unsatisfactory [ ]

9. Information was communicated with  
   No grammatical errors [ ]  
   Few grammatical errors [ ]  
   Several grammatical errors [ ]

10. The findings and conclusion were clear and related to the aim and to the purpose of the project.  
    At all times [ ]  
    Sometimes [ ]  
    Rarely [ ]

11. At least two recommendations were  
    Based on findings [ ]  
    Related to some findings [ ]  
    Unrelated to findings [ ]

12. The bibliography was  
    Comprehensive [ ]  
    Satisfactory [ ]  
    Unsatisfactory [ ]
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