### CENTRE CODE: ________________________  NAME OF CENTRE: ________________________  TERRITORY: ________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF TEACHER:</th>
<th>YEAR OF EXAMINATIONS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of projects received: _____________</th>
<th>The size of the sample was adequate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of projects examined: _____________</td>
<td>Yes  No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>A cover sheet, Form GEOG2-5, was attached to each project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>The electronic selection report was submitted with the sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>A completed Form GEOG2-3 was submitted with the sample of projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>The sample was received by the scheduled date.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. The overall quality of the sample of projects submitted:
   - (a) The topics chosen were relevant to the Specific Objectives in the Unit.
   - (b) The topics chosen were appropriate for the level of the examination.
   - (c) The assignments showed sufficient evidence of candidates’ individual work.
   - (d) The presentation of the sample of projects submitted was

#### GENERAL COMMENTS

1. The cover page was
   - Excellent  Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory
2. The statement of purpose was
   - Comprehensive  Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory
3. The methodology was
   - In-depth  Adequate  Superficial
4. The diagrams/illustrations were appropriate.
   - At all times  Sometimes  Rarely
5. The diagram/illustrations were well integrated into the text.
   - At all times  Sometimes  Rarely
6. The presentation was
   - Excellent  Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory
7. The description of the findings was
   - Comprehensive and Clear  Adequate  Limited or Unclear
8. The analyses and discussion done were
   - Comprehensive  Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory
9. Information was communicated with
   - No grammatical errors  Few grammatical errors  Several grammatical errors
10. The findings and conclusion were clear and related to the aim and to the purpose of the project.
    - At all times  Sometimes  Rarely
11. At least two recommendations were
    - Based on findings  Related to some findings  Unrelated to findings
12. The bibliography was
    - Comprehensive  Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory

Revised September 2013