



CARIBBEAN ADVANCED PROFICIENCY EXAMINATION®

MODERATION FEEDBACK REPORT ON SCHOOL-BASED ASSESSMENT

LAW - UNIT 2

Name of Centre: _____

Centre Code: _____

Name of Teacher: _____

Year of Examination: _____

ADMINISTRATION DETAILS

Number of projects requested: _____

Number of projects received: _____

Marks for each research project were recorded clearly and correctly. Yes No

A completed Moderation Form (FRM/EDPD/353) was submitted with the research project. Yes No

APPROPRIATENESS OF ACTIVITIES

Topics chosen were relevant to the content of the Unit. Yes No

Submissions were appropriate for the level of the candidates. Yes No

Submissions showed sufficient evidence of candidates' individual work. Yes No

COMPLIANCE WITH SYLLABUS GUIDELINES

Projects adhered to stipulated length. Yes No

Penalty was imposed by teacher if length was exceeded. Yes No

Projects adhered to the stipulated format. Yes No

Projects did not include the following section(s): _____

QUALITY OF CANDIDATES' SUBMISSIONS

The overall quality of research projects was

Excellent Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

The aspect of the candidates' submissions which was most commendable was:

Candidates could have improved their submissions by:

QUALITY OF TEACHER'S MARKING

The teacher's marking of the research projects was:

Acceptable Severe Lenient Inconsistent

The teacher followed the criteria for marking. Yes No

Marks were clearly shown for each of the criteria set out in the marking guidelines. Yes No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Candidates observed the word limit Always Often Rarely

Candidates followed the guidelines as laid out in the syllabus Always Often Rarely

The research topics were clearly stated and well focused Always Often Rarely

Candidates' understanding of appropriate research methods was Comprehensive Satisfactory Poor

Candidates' understanding of the concepts and principles of the law was Comprehensive Satisfactory Poor

Candidates' application of legal principles to cases was Good Satisfactory Weak

Candidates' analysis and interpretation of the law were Comprehensive Satisfactory Poor

Quality of the language was Good Satisfactory Poor

Plagiarism was evident Often Sometimes Never

Appropriate teacher guidance was evident Always Sometimes Never

Moderator's Initials: _____

Examiner's Initials _____

Chief/Assistant Chief Examiner's Initials _____

Date: _____