C. COMPLIANCE WITH SYLLABUS GUIDELINES

1. Assignment details submitted
2. Assignments adhered to specified length
3. Assignments adhered to required format
4. Mark Schemes conformed to syllabus guidelines
5. Assignments marked as specified in syllabus

D. QUALITATIVE FEEDBACK ON CANDIDATES’ SUBMISSIONS

Candidates have:
1. Demonstrated adequate knowledge of the content and objectives assessed
2. Demonstrated competence in the skills assessed
3. Stated clearly area of research or assignment topics
4. Included research proposal
5. Collected appropriate data (quantity and quality)
6. Analysed data appropriately
7. Related findings/conclusions to the research proposal
8. Based findings/conclusions on the data collected

The overall quality of candidates’ submissions was:
- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Candidates’ performance could have been improved by:
________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________

E. QUALITY OF TEACHER’S MARKING

The teacher’s marking of the sample was:
- Acceptable
- Severe
- Lenient
- Inconsistent